Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/028,129	LANDRY-COLTRAIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Pamela R. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Blank</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 September 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections over Okumura et al.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Okumura et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Mucht Vaku		
(#xaminer/SPH/Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Clarification of the showings was discussed. It was noted that the limitation at the end of claim 1 is based upon the showings in the original specification and statistical calculations provided in applicant's third Declaration. In order to clarify the specification as to the support for the claim language, applicants will incorporate their statistical calculations into the specification without the introduction of new matter.